

Message

From: Schaub, Mike [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4C7102F9F8CC43DDA1D2CA2B1B238FE4-SCHAUB, MIKE]
Sent: 2/21/2020 6:27:41 PM
To: Jamie Phillippe [Jamie.Phillippe@LA.GOV]
Subject: RE: 2016 TR comments

Thanks Jamie. Yeah, I had kind of the same question in my mind when your first sent that....let me talk this over with our antideg expert at HQs and get back to you.

Mike Schaub
Water Quality Standards Program
Water Division
US EPA Region 6-Dallas
214-665-7314

From: Jamie Phillippe <Jamie.Phillippe@LA.GOV>
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 4:23 PM
To: Schaub, Mike <Schaub.Mike@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: 2016 TR comments

Mike,

I'm working on responses to all of the public comments submitted for WQ097 and have one that EPA may be able to clarify for me.

It's at the bottom of page one/top of page two in the attachment and regards public participation with the two antidegradation approaches; DEQ closely followed the verbiage at 40 CFR 131.12(a)(2)(i). GRN's comment requests public participation for the parameter-by-parameter approach. In regulation, this is clear for the waterbody-by-waterbody approach, but unclear for the parameter-by-parameter approach.

Please let me know whether the parameter-by-parameter approach is subject to public participation like the waterbody-by-waterbody approach.

Thanks,
Jamie

From: Jamie Phillippe
Sent: Friday, February 7, 2020 9:17 AM
To: 'Schaub, Mike' <Schaub.Mike@epa.gov>
Subject: 2016 TR comments

Mike,

Here are the 2016 TR comments received, other the one EPA sent.

Ammonia criteria had no comments. Most comments concern the water quality clarification rule and eLMRAP DO.

I'll send you my ammonia analysis separately after I've completed my review of it; likely some time next week.

Thanks,

Jamie